# UC San Diego - WASC Exhibit 7.1 Inventory of Educational Effectiveness Indicators

Academic Program	(2a) What are these learning	(3) Other than GPA, what data/evidence are used to determine that graduates have	(4) Who interprets the evidence?	(5) How are the findings
	outcomes?	achieved stated outcomes for the degree?	What is the process?	used?
Department:	Students graduating with a degree should be able to:	Written Communication	Written Communication	Written Communication
Bioengineering		1) Direct Assessment Measures in Class assignments and the Senior Project	The Undergraduate Student	Faculty assesses the
Major: B.S. in Bioengineering: Bioengineering B.S. in Bioengineering	Written Communication ABET Learning Outcome (3): An ability to communicate effectively (written and oral) with a range of audiences.	Capsione course: A matrix has been generated that describes the mapping of student outcomes to individual BE courses (see attachment). For each outcome, a direct assessment tool, in form of a rubric, has been developed, using several performance indicators, which evaluate individual traits of an outcome based on four achievement levels. Selected exams, homework assignments, and project reports are used to assess written communication.	Affairs Committee and the ABET Committee regularly review student learning outcome assessment and curriculum adjustments. The Industrial Advisory Board is convened periodically to provide input on various aspects	coverage of topics and learning outcomes in consequential courses in the annual faculty retreat. Faculties is prompted to self-evaluate and discuss courses and learning outcomes with colleagues. A topic group leader
Biotechnology B.S. in Bioengineering Bioinformatics B.S. in Bioengineering		<ul> <li>2) Indirect Assessment Measures via Stakeholder feedback: Student surveys are used to assess learning outcomes through annual senior student exit surveys and biannual alumni surveys, conducted by the Jacobs School of Engineering. Assessment of program objectives and student learning outcomes are performed through employer feedback and surveys, collected in quarterly Industrial Advisory Board meetings.</li> <li>3) Additional measures and enrichment options for student learning outcomes via Co-</li> </ul>	of program assessment results (objectives, outcomes). Collected evidence in column (3) is analyzed and graphically presented to the faculty in the annual faculty retreat. Faculty discusses and evaluates the findings of the assessment for	A topic group feader documents discussion results in questionnaires for each program. This enables continuously improvement in each program on the course level. The Undergraduate
(1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed?		<ul> <li>curricular activities:</li> <li>Bioengineering Day (BE Day): The Capstone Senior Student Design projects consist of teams from all Bioengineering programs. The design topics are chosen in close relation with local industry. Students are required to communicate all aspects of the design problem and must make presentations, interact with people in different disciplines, e.g. medicalschool and the IT sector, and must explain their results to the public. Design project results are presented at the Bioengineering (BE) Day. BE Day is an annual event organized entirely by students. At the BE Day, the final design projects are public.</li> </ul>	each outcome within each Bioengineering program. Discussion results and suggestions for program improvement are documented in faculty questionnaires.	Affairs Committee Committee reviews more complex suggestions for curriculum change, suggested from assessment measures and faculty feedback, and develops a plan for program improvement.
Yes (6) Date of last Academic Senate Review? 20013-2014		presented to local bioengineering and biotechnology industry. Industry representatives get involved with students, offering their point of view, which sometimes leads to a long lasting industry liaison. Some of these design project cooperation lead to patents with applications of bioengineering solutions in public domains and for the benefit of health care. The success of the BE day and the positive feedback of industry reflect additional evidence that student learning outcomes have been met. Feedback is documented in industry questionnaires at the end of BE Day. Satisfaction with the skills and training in Bioengineering programs are reflected upon the fulfillment of industry needs.		The Undergraduate Student Council (UGSC) decides on curriculum changes before being forwarded to the Academic Senate for final approval and implementation.
October 2018		<ul> <li>Internship Program and Research Activities: Students are encouraged to participate in industrial internships to gain real-world experiences. Technical elective credit can be granted for approved internships (BENG 196). Feedback is collected from the industrial supervisors. Furthermore, Bioengineering students are encouraged to engage in UCSD undergraduate research activities in research labs of teaching faculty.</li> <li>Student Organizations: Students can join engineering student organizations for extra-curricular experiences in communication and leadership. The Biomedical Engineering Society (BMES) student organization has a very active chapter, which has consistently been ranked high amount the top universities in the action.</li> </ul>	by the Undergraduate Affairs Committee for possibly implementation. Re-assessment of the outcomes in the following academic year will provide evaluation of the success rate of curriculum changes.	The assessment and evaluation cycle of student learning outcomes are repeated annually for continuous program improvement.

<mark>Oral (</mark>	<b>Communication</b>	Oral Communication	<b>Oral Communication</b>	<b>Oral Communication</b>
ABET ability (writte audien	Learning Outcome (3): An to communicate effectively en and oral) with a range of nces.	<ol> <li>Direct Assessment Measures in Class assignments and the Senior Project Capstone course:</li> <li>Mapping: see attached matrix for student outcomes vs BE courses. For each outcome, a direct assessment tool, in form of a rubric, has been developed, using several performance indicators, which evaluate individual traits of an outcome based on four achievement levels. Selected class presentations and project talks are used to assess oral communication.</li> <li>Indirect Assessment Measures via Stakeholder feedback:         <ul> <li>BE Day: Stakeholders are able to assess poster presentations of the Capstone Senior Design projects while talking to the students and completing a questionnaire.</li> <li>Internship Program: Internship projects are presented at the annual Internship Symposium and assessed by faculty, industry representatives, and graduate students.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Additional measures and enrichment options for student learning outcomes via Co-curricular activities:         <ul> <li>Student Organizations: Students can join engineering student organizations for extra-curricular experiences in communication and leadership. The Biomedical Engineering Society (BMES) student organization has a very active chapter, which has consistently been ranked high among the top universities in the nation.</li> </ul></li></ol>	SEE ABOVE	SEE ABOVE
Quant ABET ability solve c problet of engi mather ABET ability approp analyze use eng conclu	titative Reasoning: Learning Outcome (1): An to identify, formulate, and complex engineering ems by applying principles ineering, science, and matics. Learning Outcome (6): An to develop and conduct priate experimentation, te and interpret data, and igineering judgment to draw usions	Quantitative Reasoning         1) Direct Assessment Measures in Class assignments and the Senior Project Capstone course:         Mapping: see attached matrix for student outcomes vs BE courses. For each outcome, a direct assessment tool, in form of a rubric, has been developed, using several performance indicators, which evaluate individual traits of an outcome based on four achievement levels. Selected exams, homework assignments, and project reports are used to assess quantitative reasoning.         Design projects are used to ensure that all students have quantitative reasoning skills necessary for successful professional practice, engineering modeling and design. Group design projects are introduced every year of the bioengineering program, beginning in the freshman year and leading up to the program's "masterpicce" in the Capstone senior student design project. The Capstone design projects are assessed by an independent evaluator from industry as well as during the BE Day presentations.         2) Indirect Assessment Measures via Stakeholder feedback: SEE ABOVE         3) Additional measures and enrichment options for student learning outcomes via Co-curricular activities: SEE ABOVE	Quantitative Reasoning SEE ABOVE	Quantitative Reasoning SEE ABOVE

<b>Information Literacy</b>	Information Literacy	<b>Information Literacy</b>	Information Literacy	
ABET Learning Outcome (7): An ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies and literature research (including verifying the source of data information).	<ul> <li>1) Direct Assessment Measures in Class assignments and the Senior Project Capstone course:</li> <li>Mapping: see attached matrix for student outcomes vs BE courses. For each outcome, a direct assessment tool, in form of a rubric, has been developed, using several performance indicators, which evaluate individual traits of an outcome based on four achievement levels. Selected exams, homework assignments, and backgrounds for project reports are used to assess information literacy.</li> <li>To ensure that all students have the skills necessary for successful professional practice and design in a social context, literature review is conducted for each design project. Group design projects are introduced every year of the bioengineering program, beginning in the freshman year and leading up to the program's "masterpiece" in the Capstone senior student design project. The Capstone design projects are assessed by an independent evaluator from industry as well as during the BE Day presentations.</li> <li>2) Indirect Assessment Measures via Stakeholder feedback: SEE ABOVE</li> <li>3) Additional measures and enrichment options for student learning outcomes via Co-curricular activities: SEE ABOVE</li> </ul>	SEE ABOVE	SEE ABOVE	
Critical Thinking ABET Learning Outcome (2): An ability to apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with standards and constraints. ABET Learning Outcome (4): An ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which mush consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts.	Critical Thinking         1) Direct Assessment Measures in Class assignments and the Senior Project         Capstone course:         Mapping: see attached matrix for student outcomes vs BE courses. Courses that are taught at the mastering level (labeled "3") apply the highest level of critical thinking via critique, synthesis, or creation of the subject matter (according to Bloom's taxonomy).         For each outcome, a direct assessment tool, in form of a rubric, has been developed, using several performance indicators, which evaluate individual traits of an outcome based on four achievement levels. Selected exams, homework assignments, and project reports are used to assess critical thinking.         The Senior Project Capstone design sequence course (4 quarters) provides several experiences that require student teams to design, build, solve, and propose solutions to Bioengineering real life problems. Economics, environmental, sustainability, and ethical, social, health and safety related, and political considerations are incorporated.         To ensure that all students have the skills necessary for successful professional practice and design, group design projects are introduced every year of the bioengineering program, beginning in the freshman year and leading up to the program's "masterpiece" in the Capstone senior student design project. The Capstone design projects are assessed by an independent evaluator from industry as well as during the BE Day presentations.         2) Indirect Assessment Measures via Stakeholder feedback: SEE ABOVE         3) Additional measures and enrichment options for student learning outcomes via Co-curricular activities:	Critical Thinking SEE ABOVE	Critical Thinking SEE ABOVE	

Additional Learning Outcomes ABET Learning Outcome (5): An ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment (inclusion of diversity), establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives. ABET Learning Outcome (8): An ability to identify needs for new engineering solutions in the world or society, and to develop	<ul> <li>(All other items not color coded)</li> <li>1) Direct Assessment Measures in Class assignments and the Senior Project Capstone course:</li> <li>Mapping: see attached matrix for student outcomes vs BE courses. Courses that are taught at the mastering level (labeled "3") apply the highest level of critical thinking via critique, synthesis, or creation of the subject matter (according to Bloom's taxonomy).</li> <li>For each outcome, an additional evaluation tool in form of a rubric with several performance indicators has been created to evaluate individual traits of an outcome based on four achievement levels.</li> <li>Student knowledge and skills are evaluated and monitored annually, according to the developed rubrics for each outcome, through direct assessments of selected exams, group projects, homework assignments, reports and presentations.</li> </ul>	(All other items not color coded) SEE ABOVE	(All other items not color coded) SEE ABOVE
or society, and to develop innovative thinking to solve bioengineering problems with creativity and entrepreneurship.	A Capstone design sequence course (4 quarters) provides several experiences that require student teams to design, build, solve, and propose solutions to Bioengineering real life problems. Economics, environmental, sustainability, and ethical, social, health and safety related, and political considerations are incorporated. To ensure that all students have the skills necessary for successful professional practice (team participation and communication in inclusive multidisciplinary teams, as well as innovative leadership skills), group design projects are introduced every year of the bioengineering program, beginning in the freshman year and leading up to the program's "masterpiece" in the Capstone senior student design project. The Capstone design projects are assessed by an independent evaluator from industry as well as during the BE Day presentations. 2) Indirect Assessment Measures via Stakeholder feedback: SEE ABOVE 3) Additional measures and enrichment options for student learning outcomes via <u>Co-curricular activities:</u> SEE ABOVE		
<ul> <li>(2b)</li> <li>Where are the learning outcomes published? Please provide your department/program website address.</li> <li>Course syllabi</li> <li>Bioengineering Website:</li> <li>http://be.ucsd.edu/undergraduate Attribe.ucsd.edu/undergraduate Student Affairs office.</li> <li>Posters published in the Bioengineering building hallway and Undergraduate Student Affairs office.</li> <li>UC San Diego General Catalog:</li> <li>https://ucsd.edu/catalog/curric/SO E.html</li> </ul>		ABET ACCREDITATION The Bioengineering: Bioengineering and Bioengineering: Biotechnology programs received a full 6-year accreditation by the Accreditation Board of Engineering and Technology (ABET) in 2014. Learning outcomes are compared with national standards. The new Bioengineering: BioSystems program received ABET accreditation retroactive for 2016, since accreditation can only be obtained after the first group of students graduated in 2017. All 3 programs are up for accreditation renewal in 2019/2020.	Repetition of Assessment and Evaluation cycle: With a continuous process of outcome assessment, evaluation, and feedback from all stakeholders, the educational teaching effectiveness is improving. Assessment results are <b>published</b> on ABET and internal Bioengineering webpages for review by the program stakeholders (students, alumni, industry representatives, and faculty).

Contribution of required courses to program Student Learning Outcomes (1-8) for all accredited Bioengineering majors*										
Course ID				Outc	omes					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
BENG 1. Intro to Bioengineering	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	(1): Identify, formulate, solve complex	
BENG 2. Intro Computer Programming/Matlab	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	eng. problems by applying princpls of	
BENG 100. Statist. Reasoning for Bioeng Appl.	3	2	2	2	1	3	2	0	engineering, science, math	
BENG 102. Molec. Compontents of Living Syst	2	2	2	1	0	0	3	1		
BENG 103B. Bioengineering Mass Transfer	2	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	(2): Apply eng. design to produce	
BENG 110. Foundation of Biomechanics	3	2	2	2	0	2	3	0	solutions that meet specific needs with	
BENG 112A. Tissue Biomechanics	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	standards and constraints due to:	
BENG 112B. Fluid and Cell Biomechanics	3	2	2	0	2	2	3	2	public health, safety, welfare and global,	
BENG 120 .Org. Chem. Struct. & Design Princpl	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	cultural, social, environmtl, economic factors	
BENG 122A. Biosystems and Control	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2		
BENG 123. Dynamic Simulation in Bioengineering	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	(3): Communicate effectively	
BENG 125. Modelg & Computation in Bioeng	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	3	(written and oral) with a range of audiences	
BENG 130. Biotech Thermodynamics and Kinetics	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	0		
BENG 133. Num. Analysis & Computational Eng.	2	1	2	1	3	3	1	1	(4): Recognize ethical and professional	
BENG 134. Measmnts, Statistics & Probability	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	1	responsibilities in eng. situations and make	
BENG 135. Biomed. Signals and Systems	3	1	1	1	3	3	2	0	informed judgements with the impact of	
BENG 140A. Bioeng Physiology I	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	0	eng. solutions in global, economic,	
BENG 140B. Bioeng Physiology II	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	environmental and societal contexts	
BENG 141. Biomed. Optics and Imaging	3	2	3	1	1	1	1	1		
BENG 152. Biosystems Engineering Lab	2	2	2	0	0	3	3	3	(5): Function effectively on a team whose	
BENG 160. Chem. and Molecular Bioeng Techniques	2	1	2	1	2	3	2	1	members together provide leadership, create a	
BENG 161A. Bioreactor Engineering	3	2	0	1	0	0	2	1	collaborative and inclusive environment,	
BENG 161B. Biochemical Engineering	3	2	0	2	0	0	2	1	(inclusion of diversity) and	
BENG 162. Biotechnology Laboratory	2	1	2	1	3	3	1	1	establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives	
BENG 166A. Cell and Tissue Engineering	2	2	3	2	0	2	2	1		
BENG 168. Biomolecular Engineering	1	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	(6): Develop and conduct appropriate	
BENG 172. Bioengineering Laboratory	3	2	3	1	3	3	3	0	experimentation, analyze data, and use	
BENG 186A. Princpls of Biomaterials Design	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	eng. judgement to draw conclusions	
BENG 186B. Princpls of Bioinstrumentation Design	3	3	1	1	1	3	3	1		
BENG 187A. Bioeng Design: Planning	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	(7): Acquire and apply new knowledge as	
BENG 187B. Bioeng Design: Development	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	needed, using appropriate learning strategies	
BENG 187C. Bioeng Design: Implementation	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	(life-long learning)	
BENG 187D. Bioeng Design: Presentation	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		
BENG 189. Physiological Systems Eng.	3	1	3	3	2	2	3	3	(8): Identify needs for new eng. solutions in	
BENG 191. Professional Issues in Bioeng	1	2	2	3	1	0	2	3	society and develop innovative thinking to	
BENG 193. Clinical Bioengineering	3	3	3	2	2	1	3	3	solve bioeng problems with creativity	
BENG 196. Bioengineering Industrial Internship	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	and entrepreneurship	
*updated August 2018 Total of '3's:	23	8	12	8	10	15	18	10		
1= Introduction (knowledge level) 2= Developing s	kill (analys	is, applicat	ion) 3= N	Aastering (	create, sy	nthesize,	critique)	0= Not add	ressed in this class	

## **Five Core Competencies according to WASC**

### Written communication

Communication by means of written language for informational, persuasive, and expressive purposes. Written communication may appear in many forms, or genres. Successful written communication depends on mastery of the conventions of the written language, facility with culturally accepted structures for presentation and argument, awareness of audience, and other situation-specific factors.

#### **Oral communication**

Communication by means of spoken language for informational, persuasive, and expressive purposes. In addition to speech, oral communication may employ visual aids, body language, intonation, and other non-verbal elements to support the conveyance of meaning and connection with the audience. Oral communication may include speeches, presentations, discussions, dialogue, and other forms of interpersonal communication, either delivered face to face or mediated technologically.

#### **Quantitative reasoning**

The ability to apply mathematical concepts to the interpretation and analysis of quantitative information in order to solve a wide range of problems, from those arising in pure and applied research to everyday issues and questions. It may include such dimensions as ability to apply math skills, judge reasonableness, communicate quantitative information, and recognize the limits of mathematical or statistical methods.

#### Information literacy

According the Association of College and Research Libraries, the ability to "recognize when information is needed and have the ability to locate, evaluate, and use the needed information" for a wide range of purposes. An information-literate individual is able to determine the extent of information needed, access it, evaluate it and its sources, use the information effectively, and do so ethically and legally.

#### **Critical thinking**

The ability to think in a way that is clear, reasoned, reflective, informed by evidence, and aimed at deciding what to believe or do. Dispositions supporting critical thinking include open-mindedness and motivation to seek the truth.

